IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOHN STEVEN OLAUSEN, Appellant, vs. KENNY GUINN. GOVERNOR/CHAIRMAN OF NEVADA BOARD OF PARDONS; JACKIE CRAWFORD, DIRECTOR OF NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS: RICHARD A. GAMMICK, WASHOE COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY: THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT DEPT. 1 IN THE STATE OF NEVADA FOR CARSON CITY: AND DAVID A. SMITH, EXECUTIVE SECRETARY OF THE NEVADA PARDONS BOARD. Respondents.

No. 48215

FILED

DEC 0 7 2006

JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a proper person appeal from a district court order denying a motion for an enlargement of time to oppose a motion to dismiss. First Judicial District Court, Carson City; Michael R. Griffin, Judge. Appellant has amended his notice of appeal to designate a subsequent district court order granting a motion to dismiss.

Our review of this appeal reveals jurisdictional defects. First, the district court has not entered a final written judgment adjudicating all of the rights and liabilities of all the parties. A final judgment is one that disposes of all of the issues presented in the case, and leaves nothing for the future consideration of the court, except certain post-judgment issues.¹

¹<u>Lee v. GNLV Corp.</u>, 116 Nev. 424, 996 P.2d 416 (2000).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

06-24981

Here, although the district court apparently entered an order dismissing appellant's claims against respondent Richard A. Gammick, nothing before this court indicates that any written order or judgment has been entered by the district court disposing of all of appellant's claims against all of the other defendants.² Thus, there exists no final judgment amenable to jurisdiction in this court.

Second, to the extent appellant is appealing from the district court's order denying his request for enlargement of time to oppose the motion to dismiss, we note that this court has jurisdiction to consider an appeal only when the appeal is authorized by statute or court rule.³ And no statute or court rule authorizes an appeal from an order denying a motion for enlargement of time to oppose a motion to dismiss.⁴

Accordingly, as we lack jurisdiction to consider this appeal, we ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.

Becker , J.

Hardesty

riardesty

Parraguirre

⁴See NRAP 3A(b) (listing appealable determinations).

²<u>Lee</u>, 116 Nev. 424, 996 P.2d 416 (2000).

³Taylor Constr. Co. v. Hilton Hotels, 100 Nev. 207, 678 P.2d 1152 (1984).

cc: Hon. Michael R. Griffin, District Judge
John Steven Olausen
Attorney General George Chanos/Carson City
Washoe County District Attorney Richard A. Gammick,
Civil Division
Carson City Clerk