## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ALEXANDER OCASIO, Petitioner.

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE JOSEPH T. BONAVENTURE, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents.

ALEXANDER OCASIO, Petitioner.

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE JACKIE GLASS, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents. No. 48146

FILED

NOV 28 2006

JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY HIEF DEPUTY CLERK

No. 48280

## ORDER DENYING PETITIONS

These are proper person petitions for a writ of prohibition and a writ of mandamus.<sup>1</sup> In Docket No. 48146, petitioner seeks an order prohibiting Judge Joseph Bonaventure from conducting a second hearing on his post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. In Docket No. 48280, petitioner seeks an order compelling Judge Jackie Glass to consider his affidavits of bias and transfer his case to an impartial judge. He further seeks an order compelling the district court to conduct an

(O) 1947A

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We elect to consolidate these matters for disposition. <u>See</u> NRAP 3(b).

evidentiary hearing on his post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus.

We have reviewed the documents presented to this court, and we conclude that this court's intervention by extraordinary writ is not warranted in these matters.<sup>2</sup> Any issues relating to the resolution of his post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus may be resolved in an appeal from a final order denying the petition. Accordingly, we

ORDER the petitions DENIED.3

Becker, J.

Hardesty

Parraguirre

J.

J.

cc: Hon. Joseph T. Bonaventure, District Judge Hon. Jackie Glass, District Judge Alexander Ocasio Attorney General George Chanos/Carson City Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger Clark County Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See NRS 34.160; NRS 34.170; NRS 34.320; NRS 34.330.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>We have received all proper person documents submitted in these matters, and we conclude that no relief is warranted.