## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PANAVISE PRODUCTS, INC.,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
WASHOE, AND THE HONORABLE
STEVEN P. ELLIOTT, DISTRICT
JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
AMERICAN NATIONAL PROPERTY
AND CASUALTY, A CORPORATION
LICENSED TO DO BUSINESS IN
NEVADA; AND HOWARD

CONSULTING GROUP, A NEVADA

CORPORATION.

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 48126

FILED

DEC 0 8 2006

CLERK OF SUPREMB COURT

BY

DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order denying petitioner's motion to disqualify opposing counsel. The real parties in interest, in response to our order, have filed an answer to the petition.<sup>1</sup>

We have considered this petition and the answer, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted. In particular, we are not persuaded that the district court

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We deny petitioner's motion for leave to file a reply.

abused its discretion in determining that disqualification was not warranted.<sup>2</sup> Accordingly, we deny the petition.<sup>3</sup>

It is so ORDERED.

Becker

Hardesty

Parraguirre

Hon. Steven P. Elliott, District Judge cc: McDonald Carano Wilson LLP/Reno Erickson Thorpe & Swainston, Ltd. Washoe District Court Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See Waid v. Dist. Ct., 121 Nev. 605, 119 P.3d 1219 (2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>See NRAP 21(b); Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991).