IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DONALD K. MCGHAN, Petitioner,

VS.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE MARK R. DENTON, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents,

and
WEDBUSH MORGAN SECURITIES, A
CORPORATION; RICHARD TALLEY,
AN INDIVIDUAL; AND TALLEY &
COMPANY, A CORPORATION,
Real Parties in Interest.

No. 48104

FILED

FEB 08 2007



ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRITS OF MANDAMUS AND PROHIBITION

This original petition for writs of mandamus and prohibition challenges a district court order compelling arbitration. Petitioner and real parties in interest Richard Talley and Talley & Company contend that the district court, not the arbitrator, should resolve the issue of whether the claims asserted by real party in interest Wedbush Morgan Securities in a National Association of Securities Dealers arbitration are barred by res judicata. Wedbush argues that the district court properly concluded that the issue was for the arbitrator.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

07-03222

station,¹ or to control a manifest abuse of discretion.² The counterpart to a writ of mandamus, a writ of prohibition is available when a district court acts without or in excess of its jurisdiction.³ Both mandamus and prohibition are extraordinary remedies, and it is within this court's discretion to determine if a petition will be considered.⁴ Further, a writ of mandamus or prohibition may issue only when there is no plain, speedy, and adequate legal remedy.⁵

Having considered the petition and the answers thereto, we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted. Accordingly, we deny the petition.⁶

It is so ORDERED.

Parraguirre

Handasty

Hardesty

Saitta

¹See NRS 34.160.

²See Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman, 97 Nev. 601, 637 P.2d 534 (1981).

³State of Nevada v. Dist. Ct. (Anzalone), 118 Nev. 140, 146-47, 42 P.3d 233, 237 (2002); NRS 34.320.

⁴<u>See Smith v. District Court</u>, 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991).

⁵<u>See</u> NRS 34.170 and 34.330.

⁶See NRAP 21(b); Smith, 107 Nev. at 677, 818 P.2d at 851.

cc: Hon. Mark R. Denton, District Judge Snell & Wilmer, LLP/Las Vegas Dickerson Law Group Weinberg, Wheeler, Hudgins, Gunn & Dial, LLC Eighth District Court Clerk