IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PERCY LAVAE BACON, Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE KATHY A. HARDCASTLE, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents.

No. 47842

FILED

OCT 1 0 2006

CLERN OF SUPREME COURT
BY DEPUTY OLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original proper person petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order that directed petitioner to show cause why his complaint should not be dismissed for "being insufficiently pled" and a corresponding district court order that deferred issuance of all summonses subject to the resolution of the order to show cause.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires, or to control an arbitrary or capricious exercise of discretion.¹ But mandamus is an extraordinary remedy, and a petition for a writ of mandamus is addressed to this court's sole discretion.² We have considered the petition and supporting

(O) 1947A

¹See NRS 34.160; Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman, 97 Nev. 601, 637 P.2d 534 (1981).

²Poulos v. District Court, 98 Nev. 453, 455, 652 P.2d 1177, 1178 (1982).

documentation, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted.

Accordingly, we deny the petition.³ It is so ORDERED.

Becker, J.

Harrety, J

Larra e a

Parraguirre

cc: Hon. Kathy A. Hardcastle, District Judge Percy Lavae Bacon Clark County Clerk

³See NRAP 21(b); Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991).