IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ARTURO GARCIA REYES AND SANTIAGO GARCIA SUAREZ, Petitioners,

vs. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE ELIZABETH GOFF GONZALEZ, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and

ROBERT C. WILLIAMS AND REGINA WILLIAMS, Real Parties in Interest. No. 47528 FILED NOV 0 9 2006 JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SUBREME COURT BY CREFT DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original petition for a writ of mandamus challenges a district court order denying a motion to strike a request for a trial de novo.

A writ of mandamus is available to compel the performance of an act that the law requires as a duty resulting from an office, trust, or station,¹ or to control a manifest abuse of discretion.² Mandamus is an extraordinary remedy, and it is within the discretion of this court to determine if a petition will be considered.³ Having considered this

¹<u>See</u> NRS 34.160.

²See Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman, 97 Nev. 601, 637 P.2d 534 (1981).

³See Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA petition, we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted. Accordingly, we deny the petition.⁴

It is so ORDERED.

C.J. Rose J. Gibbons

lan J.

Maupin

cc: Hon. Elizabeth Goff Gonzalez, District Judge Lewis Brisbois Bisgaard & Smith, LLP Victor Lee Miller Clark County Clerk

⁴See NRAP 21(b); Smith, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA