IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PHILIP ANTHONY GARLAND, Appellant, vs. REBECCA L. BURTON, F/K/A REBECCA L. GARLAND, Respondent. No. 47246

FILED

JUL 14 2006

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a proper person appeal from an order that, among other things, denied appellant's NRCP 60(b) motion to set aside the district court's order and judgment. Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Court Division, Clark County; Steven E. Jones, Judge.

Appellant's notice of appeal was filed in this court on May 8, 2006. When the notice of appeal was filed, appellant was mailed a civil proper person appeal statement and other documents, as part of the pilot program for proper person civil appeals. As noted in the instructions accompanying the documents mailed to appellant, appellant was required to file his appeal statement within forty days from the date his appeal was filed in this court. The instructions further explained that if appellant

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

¹See ADKT No. 385 (Order Establishing Pilot Program in Civil Appeals, June 10, 2005). See also ADKT No. 385 (Order Extending Pilot Program for Civil Proper Person Appeals, May 10, 2006) (indefinitely extending the pilot program for civil appeals, which was scheduled to conclude on June 13, 2006, until further order of this court).

²See ADKT No. 385 (Order Establishing Pilot Program in Civil Appeals, June 10, 2005), Exhibit A (Instructions for Civil Litigants Without Attorneys).

failed to file the appeal statement by that date, this court would dismiss the appeal.³

Appellant's appeal statement was due on June 19, 2006. To date, appellant has not filed an appeal statement or otherwise responded to this court's directive. Accordingly, we dismiss this appeal.

It is so ORDERED.4

Maupin a O O

Gibbons

Hardesty, J

cc: Hon. Steven E. Jones, District Judge, Family Court Division Philip Anthony Garland Schofield Miller Law Firm Clark County Clerk

 3 Id.

⁴We note that appellant's failure to pay the filing fee or otherwise comply with NRAP 24(a) constitutes an independent basis for dismissing this appeal.