IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JACK ALBERT PATTERSON, Appellant, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.

No. 46937 **FILED** JUN 28 2006 JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a proper person appeal from a decision of the district court granting a motion to amend the judgment of conviction to include presentence credits and an amended judgment of conviction. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Valorie Vega, Judge.

This court lacks jurisdiction to consider this appeal. Appellant is not an aggrieved party as the complete relief sought in his motion was granted by the district court and memorialized in the amended judgment of conviction.

To the extent that appellant is attempting to pursue a direct appeal, we conclude that appellant's attempt is untimely. The district court entered the original judgment of conviction on May 10, 2000.¹ Appellant did not file the notice of appeal, however, until March 14, 2006, well after the expiration of the thirty-day appeal period prescribed by

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A 🛛

¹An appeal from the amended judgment of conviction would be limited to the amendment to the judgment of conviction—in the instant case, the amount of credits. As discussed above, appellant received all of the credit that he sought, and thus, appellant is not aggrieved and this court lacks jurisdiction over the appeal from the amended judgment of conviction. Entry of the amended judgment of conviction did not restart the clock for filing a direct appeal from the original judgment of conviction.

NRAP 4(b). An untimely notice of appeal fails to vest jurisdiction in this court.² Accordingly, we conclude that we lack jurisdiction to consider this appeal, and we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.³

Maupi J. Maupin J.

Gibbons

J. Hardesty

cc:

Hon. Valorie Vega, District Judge Jack Albert Patterson Attorney General George Chanos/Carson City Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger Clark County Clerk

²See Lozada v. State, 110 Nev. 349, 871 P.2d 944 (1994).

³We have received all proper person documents submitted in this matter, and we conclude that no relief is warranted.

SUPREME COURT 0F NEVADA