IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOSE SANTOS MIRANDA, Petitioner,

No. 46787

vs. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE LEE A. GATES, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and

THE STATE OF NEVADA, Real Party in Interest. MAR 24 2006

FILED

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is a proper person petition for a writ of prohibition. Petitioner, who was convicted of one count of sexual assault on a child and two counts of lewdness with a child in 1994, argues that his conviction violates Double Jeopardy because lewdness with a child is a lesserincluded offense of sexual assault on a child. We have considered the petition on file herein, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted at this time.¹ A challenge to the validity of the judgment of conviction must be raised in a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed in the district

¹<u>See</u> NRS 34.320; NRS 34.330.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

06-06286

court in the first instance.² Petitioner may then appeal to this court from a final, written order of the court.³ Accordingly, we ORDER the petition DENIED.⁴

MDER the petition DEMIED.

Mary J. Maupin J.

Gibbons

J. Hardesty

cc:

Hon. Lee A. Gates, District Judge Jose Santos Miranda Attorney General George Chanos/Carson City Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger Clark County Clerk

 2 <u>See</u> NRS 34.724; NRS 34.738. We express no opinion as to whether petitioner could satisfy the procedural requirements of NRS chapter 34.

³<u>See</u> NRS 34.575.

⁴We have received all proper person documents submitted in this mattered, and we conclude that no relief is warranted for the reason set forth above.

Supreme Court of Nevada