

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

DYMON INVESTMENTS, INC., A
NEVADA CORPORATION; BK LAND
INVESTORS, INC., A NEVADA
CORPORATION; CHAD DYMON; AND
JOHN "BUCK" LEE,

Appellants,

vs.

LAS VEGAS VALLEY HOLDING, LLC,
A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY
COMPANY; GOLDFIELD 20, LLC, A
NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY
COMPANY; GOLD PYLE, LLC, A
NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY
COMPANY; CYAN FIRE, LLC, A
NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY
COMPANY; DYMON INVESTMENTS,
LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY
COMPANY; RJ WELCH; RJ WELCH,
LTD.; STEVE NOTARIO; AND DYMOR
INVESTMENTS, INC.,

Respondents.

No. 46762

FILED

MAY 04 2007

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *J. Richards*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE


This is an appeal from a district court order denying an NRCP 60(b) motion to set aside judgments in a company dissolution matter. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Kathy A. Hardcastle, Judge.


NRCP 60(b) allows the district court to set aside a judgment upon a demonstration that the judgment was rendered by, among other things, fraud, misrepresentation, or an adverse party's other misconduct, or upon a showing that the judgment is void. Whether to grant or deny motions for relief under NRCP 60(b) is within the district court's sound


discretion, and absent an abuse of that discretion, this court will not disturb the district court's decision.¹

Appellants assert that the district court should have set aside the judgments entered on respondents' acceptances of their offers of judgment as improper and void, because the offers were made under duress and accepted in bad faith, because respondents engaged in misconduct, and because the offers were rendered invalid by lack of apportionment and timely acceptance. Having reviewed the parties' briefs and appendices, we conclude that substantial evidence supports the district court's decision and that the district court did not abuse its discretion in denying appellants' motion. Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order.

It is so ORDERED.²


_____, J.
Hardesty


_____, J.
Parraguirre


_____, J.
Douglas

¹Deal v. Baines, 110 Nev. 509, 512, 874 P.2d 775, 777 (1994); see also Smith v. Smith, 102 Nev. 110, 111-12, 716 P.2d 229, 230 (1986) (recognizing that an abuse of discretion is shown when the court's decision is not supported by substantial evidence).

²We decline respondents' request to impose sanctions.

cc: Hon. Kathy A. Hardcastle, District Judge
William F. Buchanan, Settlement Judge
Olson, Cannon, Gormley & Desruisseaux
Beckley Singleton, Chtd./Las Vegas
Bolick & Boyer
Eighth District Court Clerk