## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GERARD LUNA,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 46740

FILED

OCT 17 2006

## ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE



This is an appeal from a judgment of conviction, pursuant to a guilty plea, of one count of child abuse and neglect with substantial mental harm. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Valorie Vega, Judge. The district court sentenced appellant Gerard Luna to a prison term of 24 to 96 months, suspended the sentence and placed Luna on probation for a period of 5 years.

Luna contends that the district court erred by denying his presentencing motion to withdraw his guilty plea without appointing counsel to assist him with the motion. "A district court may, in its discretion, grant a defendant's [presentence] motion to withdraw a guilty plea for any 'substantial reason' if it is 'fair and just." In considering whether a defendant has "advanced a substantial, fair, and just reason to withdraw a [guilty] plea, the district court must consider the totality of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Woods v. State, 114 Nev. 468, 475, 958 P.2d 91, 95 (1998) (quoting State v. District Court, 85 Nev. 381, 385, 455 P.2d 923, 926 (1969)); see also NRS 176.165.

circumstances to determine whether the defendant entered the plea voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently."<sup>2</sup>

In this case, the district court found that Luna's plea was validly entered, based on the totality of the circumstances. We conclude that Luna has not demonstrated that the district court clearly abused its discretion.<sup>3</sup>

Having considered Luna's contention and concluded that it is without merit, we

ORDER the judgment of conviction AFFIRMED.

Beckee J.

J.

J.

Hardesty

Parraguirre

cc:

Hon. Valorie Vega, District Judge Stein & Rojas Attorney General George Chanos/Carson City Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger Clark County Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Crawford v. State, 117 Nev. 718, 722, 30 P.3d 1123, 1125-26 (2001).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Bryant v. State, 102 Nev. 268, 272, 721 P.2d 364, 368 (1986) (holding that this court presumes that the lower court correctly assessed the validity of the plea, and that the lower court's determination will not be overturned absent a clear showing of an abuse of discretion).