

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

WADE D. MCKAY,  
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL  
DISTRICT COURT OF THE  
STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND  
FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK,  
AND THE HONORABLE SANDRA  
L. POMRENZE, DISTRICT  
JUDGE, FAMILY COURT  
DIVISION,

Respondents,

and

DIANE L. MCKAY,  
Real Party in Interest.

No. 46564

**FILED**

**FEB 17 2006**

JANETTE M. BLOOM  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *J. R. R. R.*  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR  
WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This is an original petition for a writ of mandamus challenging a district court order that denied petitioner's motion for summary judgment in a divorce proceeding.

We have considered this petition, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted. In particular, it appears that petitioner will have an adequate legal remedy

in the form of an appeal from the final divorce decree.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, we deny the petition.<sup>2</sup>

It is so ORDERED.

Douglas, J.  
Douglas

Becker, J.  
Becker

Parraguirre, J.  
Parraguirre

cc: Hon. Sandra Pomrenze, District Judge, Family Court Division  
Law Office of Daniel Marks  
Willick Law Group  
Clark County Clerk

---

<sup>1</sup>See NRAP 3A(a) and (b)(1); Pan v. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 88 P.3d 840 (2004) (recognizing that an appeal is an adequate legal remedy); NRS 34.170 (stating that a writ of mandamus may only issue if there is no other adequate and speedy legal remedy).

<sup>2</sup>See NRAP 21(b).