

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

PAUL MAURICE COBB,  
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT  
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF  
CLARK, THE HONORABLE JOSEPH T.  
BONAVENTURE, DISTRICT JUDGE,  
Respondents,  
and  
THE STATE OF NEVADA,  
Real Party in Interest.

No. 45789

**FILED**

SEP 16 2005

JANETTE M. BLOOM  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *J. Richards*  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION

This is a proper person petition for extraordinary relief. Citing to Article 6, Section 4 of the Nevada Constitution, petitioner challenges the validity of his judgment of conviction and requests this court to consider his claims on the merits.


This court has held that it "will not exercise its original jurisdiction to consider a writ petition in a criminal case raising claims that could or should have been raised in an appeal or in an appropriate post-conviction proceeding in the district court."<sup>1</sup> We have reviewed the documents before this court, and we decline to exercise this court's original jurisdiction in this matter. Petitioner may raise his claims in a post-

---

<sup>1</sup>Hosier v. State, 121 Nev. \_\_\_, \_\_\_, \_\_\_ P.3d \_\_\_, \_\_\_ (Adv. Op. No. 41, August 11, 2005).

conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed in the district court.<sup>2</sup> Petitioner may appeal to this court from a final, adverse decision.<sup>3</sup> Accordingly, we

ORDER the petition DENIED.<sup>4</sup>

 J.

Maupin

 J.

Gibbons

 J.

Hardesty

---

<sup>2</sup>See NRS 34.724(2)(b); NRS 34.738(1). We express no opinion as to whether petitioner could satisfy the procedural requirements of NRS chapter 34.

<sup>3</sup>See NRS 34.575(1).

<sup>4</sup>We have received all proper person documents submitted in this matter, and we conclude that no relief is warranted for the reason set forth above. We caution petitioner that a prisoner may forfeit all deductions of time earned by the prisoner if the court finds that the prisoner has filed a document in a civil action and the document contains a claim or defense included for an improper purpose, the document contains a claim or defense not supported by existing law or a reasonable argument for a change in existing law, or the document contains allegations or information presented as fact for which evidentiary support is not available or is not likely to be discovered after further investigation. See NRS 209.451(1)(d); Hosier, 121 Nev. at \_\_\_, \_\_\_ P.3d at \_\_\_. A petition for a writ of habeas corpus is a civil action for purpose of this statute. NRS 209.451(5).

cc: Hon. Joseph T. Bonaventure, District Judge  
Paul Maurice Cobb  
Attorney General Brian Sandoval/Carson City  
Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger  
Clark County Clerk