## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MARQUIS & AURBACH, Petitioner, vs. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE JESSIE WALSH, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and SUMMERLIN HOTEL PROPERTY, LLC, Real Party in Interest.

No. 45262 FILED JUN 08 2005

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF PROHIBITION OR MANDAMUS

This original petition for a writ of prohibition or mandamus challenges a district court order granting a preliminary injunction. A petition for extraordinary relief is appropriate only when no adequate remedy at law exists.<sup>1</sup> An appeal is an adequate legal remedy that precludes writ relief.<sup>2</sup> Here, petitioner was a named party to the district court case below, and so may appeal from the order granting a preliminary

 $^1\underline{See}$  NRS 34.020 (certiorari); NRS 34.170 (mandamus); NRS 34.330 (prohibition).

<sup>2</sup>See Pan v. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 88 P.3d 840 (2004).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

injunction.<sup>3</sup> We note that petitioner has in fact filed a notice of appeal, which is currently pending in this court as Docket No. 45289. Accordingly, we deny the petition.<sup>4</sup>

It is so ORDERED.

Man J.

Maupin

J. Douglas

J.

Parraguirre

cc: Hon. Jessie Elizabeth Walsh, District Judge Santoro, Driggs, Walch, Kearney, Johnson & Thompson Chanos Escobar Chanos, P.C. Clark County Clerk

<sup>3</sup><u>See</u> NRAP 3A(a) (stating that an aggrieved party may appeal) and NRAP 3A(b)(2) (stating that an appeal may be taken from a preliminary injunction).

<sup>4</sup>We deny petitioner's emergency motion for stay as moot in light of this order. We note that petitioner is free to file a motion for stay in the related pending appeal, and that any dates or deadlines that create an emergency or a need for expedited treatment for any such motion should be clearly stated in the motion.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A