IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA


ROLAND ZYBELL,
Petitioner,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 44956

FLED

APR 0 6 2005





This is a proper person petition for an extraordinary writ pursuant to Nev. Const. art. 6, § 4. Petitioner challenges the validity of his judgment of conviction and sentence. We decline to exercise this court's original jurisdiction to conduct extraordinary review of the merits of petitioner's challenge to the validity of his judgment of conviction and sentence. A challenge to the validity of a judgment of conviction should be raised in a post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed in the district court in the first instance.¹ Petitioner may then appeal to this

¹See NRS 34.724(2)(b); NRS 34.738(1). We express no opinion as to whether petitioner could satisfy the procedural requirements of NRS chapter 34.

court from a final, adverse decision.² Accordingly, we ORDER the petition DENIED.3

Douglas

Parraguirre

Hon. John M. Iroz, District Judge cc: Roland Zybell Attorney General Brian Sandoval/Carson City **Humboldt County District Attorney Humboldt County Clerk**

²See NRS 34.575(1).

³We have considered petitioner's proper person documents and conclude that no relief is warranted for the reasons set forth above.