## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ROBERT EVANS, INDIVIDUALLY; DEAN WALKER, INDIVIDUALLY; AND BPD MANAGEMENT, LLC, A NEVADA LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, Petitioners,

vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE
MICHAEL CHERRY, DISTRICT
JUDGE,
Respondents

Respondents, and DAN LAUB, INDIVIDUALLY; LINDA LAUB, INDIVIDUALLY; DAN LAUB, AS REPRESENTATIVE OF DAN & LINDA LAUB FAMILY TRUST; JOEL LAUB, AS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE JOEL A. AND KIMBERLY L. LAUB FAMLY TRUST; AND GARY S. MARRONE, AS REPRESENTATIVE OF THE GARY S. & KATHLEEN K. MARRONE TRUST,

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 44859



APR 2 9 2005



## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges the district court's denial of petitioners' motions to dismiss. We have considered this petition, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted. In particular, we note that petitioners have not provided this court with file-stamped copies

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA of any orders resolving their motions to dismiss, as required by NRAP 21(a). Accordingly, we deny the petition.<sup>2</sup>

It is so ORDERED.<sup>3</sup>

Becker, C.J.

J.

Rose

Hardesty, J.

cc: Hon. Michael A. Cherry, District Judge Hutchison & Steffen, Ltd. Leavitt Sully & Rivers Clark County Clerk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See Pan v. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 88 P.3d 840 (2004) (noting that when essential materials are not included with a petition, this court has no way to properly evaluate the petition).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See NRAP 21(b); Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>We deny as moot petitioners' April 25, 2005 motion for a stay.