## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ANTHONY J. BURRIOLA, Petitioner, vs. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE DOUGLAS HERNDON, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF PRISONS, Real Party in Interest. No. 44761 FILED SEP 2 3 2005 JANE ITE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SURREME COURT BY ONEF DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original proper person petition for a writ of mandamus challenges the district court's alleged refusal to review petitioner's pending civil lawsuit. We have considered this petition, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted. Specifically, we note that petitioner has failed to carry his NRAP 21(a) burden and therefore has not demonstrated that the

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA extraordinary remedy of mandamus should be granted.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, we deny the petition.<sup>2</sup>

It is so ORDERED.<sup>3</sup>

and N Τ. Maupin J.

Gibbons

J. Hardestv

Hon. Douglas W. Herndon, District Judge cc: Anthony J. Burriola Attorney General Brian Sandoval/Carson City Clark County Clerk

<sup>1</sup>See NRAP 21(a); Pan v. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 88 P.3d 840 (2004).

<sup>2</sup>See Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991).

<sup>3</sup>Although appellant was not granted leave to file papers in proper person, see NRAP 46(b), we have considered the proper person documents received from appellant.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA