## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

FRANK LANDAVAZO AND MIKE BELUE, Petitioners,

vs.
NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS; LOVELOCK
CORRECTIONAL CENTER; NEVADA
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN
RESOURCES; STATE HEALTH
OFFICER; AND THE STATE OF
NEVADA,
Respondents.

No. 44587

FILED

APR 2 2 2005

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

## ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original proper person petition for a writ of mandamus challenges respondents' alleged refusal to provide petitioners with medical treatment for Hepatitis-C. We have considered this petition, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted. In particular, we note that the resolution of this petition would require this court to make factual determinations, a task for which this court is not suited, and therefore this petition is more appropriately

considered by the district court in the first instance.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, we deny the petition.<sup>2</sup>

It is so ORDERED.3

Rose, J.

J.

Gibbons

Hardesty J.

cc: Mike Belue Frank Landavazo Attorney General Brian Sandoval/Carson City

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup><u>Round Hill Gen. Imp. Dist. v. Newman,</u> 97 Nev. 601, 637 P.2d 534 (1981).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See NRAP 21(b); Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>We conclude that petitioners have demonstrated good cause and so we waive the filing fee. See NRAP 21(e). Although petitioners were not granted leave to file papers in proper person, see NRAP 46(b), we have considered the proper person documents received from them.