IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

MERRITT K. YOCHUM, Appellant,

Respondent.

vs.
GLENN COUCH, A/K/A GLENN R.
COUCH, A/K/A GLENN N. COUCH,
D/B/A KINZUKO EXCHANGE,
COASTAL MARINE FABRICATORS,
CARPENTERS LUMBER, INC.,
ZEOLITE RESEARCH INSTITUTE,
INC., AMERICAN RESOURCES CORP.,
FIRST BUILDERS FUNDING, WHITE
PINE CORP., HOMES R US, INC.,
RASK SHIPYARDS OF CORONADO
CA., AND TUG BOAT CAPE CHARLES.

No. 44100

FILED

SEP 08 2006

JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SUPREME COURT BY OHEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

This is a proper person appeal from a district court order enjoining appellant from undertaking further efforts to collect on a default judgment and dismissing appellant's action with prejudice. First Judicial District Court, Carson City; Michael R. Griffin, Judge. At our direction, respondents filed a response addressing the merits of the appeal.

Having reviewed the record, the proper person appeal statement, and the response in this matter, we conclude that the district court did not err. First, appellant's complaint against respondents other than Glenn Couch is limited to "doing business as" or alter ego allegations; no conduct by any of these respondents is alleged. Further, the record reflects that they were served only by serving Glenn Couch, but it is not clear that Couch was the resident agent for any of the corporations. Thus, the district court did not err in concluding, consistent with the bankruptcy

(O) 1947A

court's order, that they were encompassed within Couch's discharge. Second, we have specifically held that a complaint cannot be amended after a final judgment has been entered.¹ Thus, appellant could not amend his complaint, post-judgment, to assert a fraudulent conveyance claim against Zeolite Research Institute, Inc., in the underlying district court action.² Accordingly, we affirm the district court's order.

It is so ORDERED.

Gibbons

Mary

J.

Maupin

Douglas , J.

cc: Hon. Michael R. Griffin, District Judge Merritt K. Yochum Robert A. Grayson Carson City Clerk

¹Greene v. Dist. Ct., 115 Nev. 391, 990 P.2d 184 (1999).

²<u>Id.</u> We note that appellant was free to file an independent action against Zeolite Research Institute, Inc.; we make no comment as to whether such an action at this point would be timely.