

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

VICTORIA GIAMPA,  
Appellant,

vs.

CHARLES F. GIAMPA, INDIVIDUALLY  
AND PROFESSIONALLY; CHUCK  
GIAMPA & ASSOCIATES, INC., A  
CORPORATION; NEVADA TITLE  
COMPANY, A/K/A NEVADA TITLE  
INSURANCE COMPANY, A  
CORPORATION; JOY HEARNS;  
NETWORK INSURANCE SERVICES,  
INC., A CORPORATION; TERRY  
ALLEN, INDIVIDUALLY AND  
PROFESSIONALLY; SANDRA  
WALKER, INDIVIDUALLY AND  
PROFESSIONALLY; SIERRA HEALTH  
SERVICES, INC., A CORPORATION;  
WILLIAM R. GODFREY,  
INDIVIDUALLY AND  
PROFESSIONALLY; PAUL PALMER,  
INDIVIDUALLY AND  
PROFESSIONALLY; AND COLLETTE  
MANGOLD, INDIVIDUALLY AND  
PROFESSIONALLY,  
Respondents.

No. 43945

**FILED**

JUN 07 2006

JANETTE M. BLOOM  
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT  
BY *J. Richard*  
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

This is a proper person appeal from a district court order that granted a motion to dismiss. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Valerie Adair, Judge.

Our review of this appeal reveals a jurisdictional defect. Specifically, the district court has not entered a final written judgment adjudicating all of the rights and liabilities of all the parties. A final judgment is one that disposes of all of the issues presented in the case, and

leaves nothing for the future consideration of the court, except certain post-judgment issues.<sup>1</sup> Here, proper person appellant Victoria Giampa's May 2004 complaint named, among other defendants, attorney Vicki Carlton. But nothing before this court indicates that any written order or judgment has been entered by the district court to dispose of Giampa's claims against Carlton.<sup>2</sup> Accordingly, there exists no final judgment amenable to jurisdiction in this court, and we


ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.

 J.

Maupin

 J.

Gibbons

 J.

Hardesty

cc: Hon. Valerie Adair, District Judge  
Victoria Giampa  
Dominic P. Gentile, Ltd.  
Clark County Clerk

---

<sup>1</sup>Lee v. GNLV Corp., 116 Nev. 424, 996 P.2d 416 (2000).

<sup>2</sup>Lee, 116 Nev. 424, 996 P.2d 416 (2000); see also Rust v. Clark Cty. School District, 103 Nev. 686, 689, 747 P.2d 1380, 1382 (1987) (noting that “[t]he district court’s oral pronouncement from the bench [and] the clerk’s minute order . . . are ineffective for any purpose and cannot be appealed”).