IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

THE STATE OF NEVADA, ON RELATION OF ITS NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION, Petitioner,

vs. THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE VALORIE J. VEGA, DISTRICT JUDGE, Respondents, and TRANSCONTINENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY, INC.,

Real Party in Interest.

No. 43890 FILED SEP 15 2004 JANETTE M. BLOOM CLERK OF SURPEME COURT BY CHEF DEPUTY CLEOK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This is a original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenging a district court order denying a motion for summary judgment brought by petitioner Nevada Department of Transportation ("NDOT") in a construction contract case, on the basis that there remain genuine issues of material fact in dispute.

Generally, this court will not exercise its discretion to consider writ petitions that challenge district court orders denying motions for summary judgment.¹ Having reviewed petitioner's arguments, we are not

¹<u>Smith v. District Court</u>, 113 Nev. 1343, 1344, 950 P.2d 280, 281 (1997).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted.² Accordingly, we deny the petition.³

It is so ORDERED.

Becker J. Becker J. Agøsti J. Gibbons Hon. Valorie Vega, District Judge Attorney General Brian Sandoval/Las Vegas Rawlings Olson Cannon Gormley & Desruisseaux Clark County Clerk

³See NRAP 21(B); <u>Smith v. District Court</u>, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

cc:

 $^{^{2}}$ <u>See State v. Dist. Ct.</u>, 116 Nev. 953, 957, 11 P.3d 1209, 1211 (2000) (observing that petitions for writ relief are within the discretion of this court, and generally may only issue when there is no plain, speedy, and adequate remedy at law).