## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

INTERNATIONAL FIDELITY **INSURANCE COMPANY, BY AND** THROUGH ITS LOCAL AGENT. SWIFT BAIL BONDS. Appellant, VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA. Respondent. INTERNATIONAL FIDELITY **INSURANCE COMPANY, BY AND** THROUGH ITS LOCAL AGENT, SWIFT BAIL BONDS. Appellant, VS. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent.

FILED FEB 2 4 2006

No. 43598

No. 43511

## ORDER DISMISSING APPEALS

These are two consolidated appeals from separate district court orders of judgment on surety. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Michael L. Douglas and Kathy A. Hardcastle, Judges.

When our review of the docketing statements and the documents submitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(e) revealed a potential jurisdictional defect in both appeals, we directed appellants to show cause why these appeals should not be dismissed based on this court's apparent lack of jurisdiction. Specifically, we noted that it appeared that the orders designated in the notices of appeal are not substantively appealable, as no statute or court rule appeared to permit

Supreme Court of Nevada

(O) 1947A

an appeal from a district court order of judgment on surety.<sup>1</sup> Appellants have submitted a response to our show cause order, arguing that a district court's order of judgment on surety is a final judgment appealable under NRAP 3A(b)(1). Subsequent to the filing of the response, however, this court issued its decision in <u>International Fidelity Insurance v. State of Nevada</u>,<sup>2</sup> which concludes that no rule or statute authorizes an appeal from any orders entered in ancillary bail bond proceedings, and thus, such orders are not substantively appealable. Moreover, in <u>International Fidelity</u>, we held that the proper vehicle for challenging orders entered in ancillary bail bond proceedings is through a petition for extraordinary writ.<sup>3</sup> Because orders entered in ancillary bail bond proceedings, such as the orders challenged here, are not substantively appealable, we lack jurisdiction over these appeals. Accordingly, we

ORDER these appeals DISMISSED.

Manza J.

J.

Maupin Gibbons

J. Hardestv

<sup>1</sup>See NRAP 3A(b) (listing orders that may be appealed); <u>Taylor</u> <u>Constr. Co. v. Hilton Hotels</u>, 100 Nev. 207, 678 P.2d 1152 (1984) (noting that this court has jurisdiction to consider an appeal only when the appeal is authorized by statute or court rule).

<sup>2</sup>122 Nev. \_\_\_\_, \_\_\_ P.3d \_\_\_\_ (Adv. Op. No. 5, February 2, 2006).

<sup>3</sup>Id.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA cc:

Eighth Judicial District Court Dept. 11, District Judge Hon. Kathy A. Hardcastle, District Judge Jones Vargas/Las Vegas Attorney General George Chanos/Carson City Clark County District Attorney David J. Roger Clark County Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A