IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

BARRY R. SHINEHOUSE, INDIVIDUALLY, AND BARRY R. SHINEHOUSE, AS TRUSTEE OF THE 1984 SHINEHOUSE FAMILY TRUST, Petitioner,

vs.
THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK, AND THE HONORABLE
KATHY A. HARDCASTLE, DISTRICT
JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
STEVEN J. DUESING; DENNIS M.
DUESING; SADDLE WEST CASINO,
INC.: AND SADDLE WEST REALTY.

Real Parties in Interest.

No. 43480

FILED

JUN 2 4 2004



ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION

This original petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition challenges the district court's "[o]rder from the bench" excluding certain witnesses' testimony from trial in this corporations case. We have considered the petition, and we are not satisfied that this court's

ME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted.¹ Accordingly, we deny the petition.²

It is so ORDERED.3

Becker J.

J.

J.

Agastic

Gibbons

cc: Hon. Kathy A. Hardcastle, District Judge Leavitt Sully & Rivers Hutchison & Steffen, Ltd. Smith Larsen & Wixom Woods, Erickson, Whitaker & Miles, LLP Clark County Clerk

¹<u>See</u> NRS 34.170; 34.330; <u>Smith v. District Court</u>, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991).

²See NRAP 21(b).

³Petitioner's motion for a stay is denied as moot.