

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JOHNNY CAMARGO,
Appellant,
vs.
THE STATE OF NEVADA,
Respondent.

No. 43387

FILED

DEC 01 2004

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *J. Richards*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK


This is an appeal from an order of the district court denying appellant's post-conviction petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Second Judicial District Court, Washoe County; James W. Hardesty, Judge.


In the petition, appellant argued that counsel was ineffective for failing to file a motion to suppress evidence. The district court found that counsel was not ineffective. The district court's factual findings regarding a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel are entitled to deference when reviewed on appeal.¹ Appellant has not demonstrated that the district court's findings of fact are not supported by substantial evidence or are clearly wrong. Moreover, appellant has not demonstrated that the district court erred as a matter of law.


¹See Riley v. State, 110 Nev. 638, 647, 878 P.2d 272, 278 (1994).

Accordingly, we conclude that the district court did not err by denying the petition, and we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.


_____, J.
Rose


_____, J.
Maupin


_____, J.
Douglas

cc: Hon. James W. Hardesty, District Judge
Scott W. Edwards
Attorney General Brian Sandoval/Carson City
Washoe County District Attorney Richard A. Gammick
Washoe District Court Clerk