

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

GARY E. GILLIS,
Petitioner,

vs.

DAYTON TOWNSHIP JUSTICE
COURT, JUSTICE WILLIAM ROGERS;
AND THE THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF LYON,
AND THE HONORABLE DAVID A.
HUFF, DISTRICT JUDGE,
Respondents,
and
FRANCIS L. WOOD,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 43216

FILED

JUN 28 2004


JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *J. R. [Signature]*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK


ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR
WRIT OF CERTIORARI


This proper person original petition for a writ of certiorari apparently challenges a justice's court's ruling and a district court's affirmance of that ruling in an unlawful detainer action.

We have considered the petition, and we are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted.¹ Accordingly, we deny the petition.²

It is so ORDERED.³


_____, J.
Becker


_____, J.
Agosti


_____, J.
Gibbons

¹NRAP 21(a) (stating that a writ petition must contain “a statement of the facts necessary to an understanding of the issues presented by the application” and “copies of any order or opinion or parts of the record which may be essential to an understanding of the matters set forth in the petition”); Pan v. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. ___, 88 P.2d 840 (2004) (denying writ relief because petitioners failed to comply with NRAP 21(a)'s requirements); Sellers v. Dist. Ct., 119 Nev. 256, 71 P.3d 495 (2003) (citing NRS 34.020 and noting that certiorari relief is dependent upon an extra-jurisdictional act).

²See NRAP 21(b).

³We conclude that petitioner has not demonstrated good cause to waive the filing fee, see NRAP 21(e), and that this constitutes a separate, independent basis for denying the petition. Further, we deny as moot petitioner's motion to appear and file papers on his own behalf. See NRAP 46(b).

cc: Hon. David A. Huff, District Judge
Robert J. Bennett
Gary E. Gillis
Lyon County Clerk