IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY JONES, Appellant, vs. MICHELE D. HAMMOND, Respondent.

ORDER OF AFFIRMANCE



AUG 11 2005

FILED

No. 43123

This is a proper person appeal from a district court judgment pursuant to which the appellant received an award for damages against the respondent. Eighth Judicial District Court, Clark County; Ronald D. Parraguirre, Judge.

Appellant Christopher A. Jones filed suit against Michele D. Hammond for damages for misappropriation of funds and property belonging to Jones and damage to his property. Hammond failed to answer the amended complaint, and the district court granted judgment on the pleadings. Both parties submitted affidavits and documentation regarding the appropriate amount of damages. The district court made findings of fact regarding each of the alleged elements of damage and awarded Jones \$4,800.00 plus prejudgment interest in the amount of \$1,576.00. Jones appeals, apparently based on the fact that the district court denied various elements of his alleged damages.

The district court considered all of the evidence submitted. The district court's findings of fact will not be set aside unless clearly erroneous; thus, if the findings are supported by substantial evidence,

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA then they will be upheld.¹ We have previously recognized that substantial evidence may be inferentially shown by lack of evidence.² It appears that all the findings of fact, and in particular the findings of fact supporting the denials of certain elements of damages, are supported by the record. The district court found that Jones failed to submit credible evidence supporting the claims that it denied. Therefore, we

ORDER the judgment of the district court AFFIRMED.³

C.J. Becker Sr. J. Shearing Sr. J. Agosti

cc: Eighth Judicial District Court Dept. 3, District Judge Christopher Anthony Jones Michele D. Hammond Clark County Clerk

¹<u>Nelson v. Peckham Plaza Partnerships</u>, 110 Nev. 23, 25, 866 P.2d 1138, 1139 (1994).

²<u>Wright v. State, Dep't of Motor Vehicles</u>, 121 Nev. ___, ___, 110 P.3d 1066, 1068 (2005).

³Although appellant was not granted leave to proceed in proper person under NRAP 46(b), we have received and considered his documents. We deny appellant's August 19, 2004, motions for confession of error and to expedite this appeal.

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A