

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

ANTHONY BAILEY,
Petitioner,

vs.

THE EIGHTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF
CLARK, THE HONORABLE VALORIE
J. VEGA, DISTRICT JUDGE, AND THE
HONORABLE MICHAEL A. CHERRY,
DISTRICT JUDGE,

Respondents,

and

NEVADA PAROLE COMMISSIONERS,
Real Party in Interest.

No. 43072

FILED

NOV 15 2004

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT

BY *J. R. [Signature]*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

This original proper person petition for a writ of mandamus challenges Eighth Judicial District Court Judge Valorie Vega's alleged refusal to rule on a petition for a writ of mandamus filed in the district court by petitioner and Eighth Judicial District Court Judge Michael A. Cherry's alleged refusal to rule on petitioner's motion to compel the High Desert State Prison to provide petitioner with copies of his complaint and summonses in a separate case. We have considered this petition, and we

are not satisfied that this court's intervention by way of extraordinary relief is warranted. Accordingly, we deny the petition.¹

It is so ORDERED.²

Becker, J.
Becker

Agosti, J.
Agosti

Gibbons, J.
Gibbons

cc: Hon. Valorie Vega, District Judge
Hon. Michael A. Cherry, District Judge
Anthony Bailey
Attorney General Brian Sandoval/Carson City
Clark County Clerk

¹See NRAP 21(b); Smith v. District Court, 107 Nev. 674, 818 P.2d 849 (1991). We note that petitioner has not provided sufficient supporting documentation to demonstrate that respondents have refused to rule on the petition and motion filed by petitioner. We are confident that the district court judges will resolve these matters as their dockets allow.

²Although petitioner was not granted permission to file papers with this court in proper person, see NRAP 46(b), we have considered the documents provided by petitioner.