

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

RANDALL W. TESCHNER,
Appellant,
vs.
PENNY A. BILOPAVLOVICH,
Respondent.

No. 43060

FILED

OCT 18 2005

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

JANETTE M. BLOOM
CLERK OF SUPREME COURT
BY *J. Richards*
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

This is an appeal from a February 2, 2004 district court order concerning visitation and a February 23, 2004 order modifying appellant's child support obligation. Eighth Judicial District Court, Family Court Division, Clark County; N. Anthony Del Vecchio, Judge.

When our preliminary review of the documents submitted to this court pursuant to NRAP 3(e) revealed two potential jurisdictional defects, we ordered appellant to show cause why this court had jurisdiction to consider this appeal. We were concerned that the notice of appeal from the February 2 order appeared to be untimely filed under NRAP 4(a), because it appeared that it was filed more than thirty days after written notice of the order's entry was served.¹ Specifically, the February 2 order's notice of entry was served by mail on February 4, 2004, and the notice of appeal was not filed until March 25, 2004. Also, regarding the child support issue in the February 23 order, it did not appear that appellant was an aggrieved party with standing to appeal,²


¹See NRAP 4(a)(1); NRAP 26(c).


²See NRAP 3A(a); Valley Bank of Nevada v. Ginsburg, 110 Nev. 440, 874 P.2d 729 (1994).


because the district court in fact lowered appellant's child support obligation to account for his career change and temporary income.

To date, appellant has failed to respond to our January 1, 2005 order to show cause. Accordingly, because appellant has failed to demonstrate that we have jurisdiction, we

ORDER this appeal DISMISSED.

 _____ J.
Douglas

 _____ J.
Rose

 _____ J.
Parraguirre

cc: Hon. N. Anthony Del Vecchio, District Judge, Family Court Division
Douglas C. Crawford
Donn W. Prokopius, Chtd.
Clark County Clerk