## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

JEROMY OELKER, Petitioner, vs. THE STATE OF NEVADA, Respondent. No. 88565

FILED

MAY 09 2024

CLERK OF SUPPLEME COURT

DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

This is an original pro se petition for a writ of certiorari challenging the validity of petitioner's judgment of conviction and the denial of petitioner's previously filed original petitions by this court and the court of appeals in Docket Nos. 87377, 87579, & 87790 (rehearing pending).

Having considered the petition and documents submitted by petitioner, we are not convinced that our extraordinary and discretionary intervention is warranted. Pan v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct., 120 Nev. 222, 228, 88 P.3d 840, 844 (2004) (observing that the party seeking writ relief bears the burden of showing that such relief is warranted); Smith v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct., 107 Nev. 674, 677, 818 P.2d 849, 851 (1991) (recognizing that writ relief is an extraordinary remedy and that this court has sole discretion in determining whether to entertain a writ petition).

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

(O) 1947A

24.16363

As petitioner has failed to demonstrate that our intervention by extraordinary writ is warranted, we decline to exercise our original jurisdiction in this matter. See NRAP 21(b). Accordingly, we ORDER the petition DENIED.

Cadish , C.J.

Stiglich, J.

Herndon, J.

cc: Attorney General/Carson City Clark County District Attorney Eighth District Court Clerk